

FASTLANE 2016 GRANT APPLICATION I-49 PROJECT





ARKANSAS STATE HIGHWAY AND TRANSPORTATION DEPARTMENT WWW.ARKANSASHIGHWAYS.COM Arkansas State Highway and Transportation Department Fostering Advancements in Shipping and Transportation for the Long-term Achievement of National Efficiencies (FASTLANE) Grant

| Interstate 49 National Freight Corridor Improvements | | | | |
|--|--------------------------------|--------------------------------|--|--|
| Project Name | | Interstate 49 National | | |
| | | Freight Corridor | | |
| | | Improvements | | |
| Previously Incurred Project Cost | | \$0 | | |
| Future Eligible Project Cost | | \$188,400,000 | | |
| Total Project Cost | | \$188,400,000 | | |
| NSFHP Request | | \$40,130,000 | | |
| Total Federal Funding (including NSFHP) | | \$150,720,000 | | |
| Are matching funds restricted to a specific project | t component? If | No | | |
| so, which one? | | 110 | | |
| Is the project or a portion of the project currently | located on | Ves | | |
| National Highway Freight Network | | 105 | | |
| Is the project or a portion of the project located or | n the National | | | |
| Highway System | | NHS – Yes | | |
| Does the project add capacity to the Inters | tate system? | Interstate Capacity – Yes | | |
| • Is the project in a national scenic area? | | Scenic – No | | |
| | | | | |
| Do the project components include a railway-high | iway grade | No | | |
| crossing or grade separation project? | 0 1 1 1 | | | |
| Do the project components include an intermodal | or freight rail | N | | |
| project, or freight project within the boundaries of a public or | | No | | |
| private freight rail, water (including ports), or inte | ermodal facility? | | | |
| If answered yes to either of the two component qu | iestions above, | | | |
| how much of requested NSFHP funds will be spe | nt on each of | Not applicable | | |
| these project components? | | | | |
| State(s) in which project is located | | Arkansas | | |
| Small or Large project | | Large | | |
| Also submitting an application to TIGER for this | project? | No | | |
| Urbanized Area in which project is located, if app | licable | Fayetteville – Springdale – | | |
| | | Rogers, AR | | |
| Population of Urbanized Area | | 295,081 | | |
| Is the project currently programmed in the | | | | |
| • TIP | $\underline{\text{TIP}}$ – Yes | | | |
| • STIP | $\frac{S1IP}{MO} - Yes$ | | | |
| • MPO Long Range Transportation Plan MPO LRTP - Y | | es | | |
| • State Long Range Transportation Plan | | s not a project specific plan. | | |
| • State Freight Plan? \underline{SFP} – Current S | | FP is not project specific. | | |
| | The update is un | derway and this will be | | |
| | included in some | e manner. | | |

TABLE OF CONTENTS

| PROJECT DESCRIPTION | 1 |
|--|------|
| PROJECT LOCATION | 3 |
| PROJECT PARTIES | 5 |
| GRANT FUNDS, SOURCES AND USES OF PROJECT FUNDS/ COST SHARE | 6 |
| COST-EFFECTIVENESS | 8 |
| PROJECT READINESS/ COMMUNITY AND ENVIRONMENTAL OUTCOMES | . 10 |
| ECONOMIC OUTCOMES | . 11 |
| MOBILITY OUTCOMES | . 13 |
| SAFETY OUTCOMES | . 15 |
| PARTNERSHIP AND INNOVATION | . 16 |

LIST OF FIGURES AND TABLES

| Figure 1 [Project Area and Improvements] | 2 |
|--|----|
| Table 1 [Major Northwest Arkansas Employers] | 4 |
| Figure 2 [Project Location] | 4 |
| Table 2 [Sources and Uses of Funds (X \$1,000)] | 6 |
| Table 3 [Projects Completed and Under Construction] | 7 |
| Table 4 [Projects Level Impacts] | 8 |
| Table 5 [Summary of Benefit-Cost Analysis] | 9 |
| Table 6 [Environmental Clearance Status] | 10 |
| Figure 3 [Freight Dependent Portion of Arkansas' Economy] | 11 |
| Figure 4 [Freight Dependent Portion of Arkansas' Economy - Employment] | 12 |
| Figure 5 [U.S. Truck Tonnage] | 12 |
| Table 7 [Basic Freeway Segments on I-49: HCS Freeway Findings] | 13 |
| Table 8 [Highway 71B (Walnut Street Interchange) Ramp Junctions Operating Conditions: HCS Ramp Findings] | 14 |

PROJECT DESCRIPTION

Interstate 49 (I-49), formerly Interstate 540 (I-540), is the surface transportation spine of the Northwest Arkansas region and is included in the recently designated National Multimodal Freight Network. This facility provides a central corridor which national and international retailers, food suppliers, and third-party logistics companies depend upon for freight shipments.

Substantial growth in population and commercial activity in this area of the state has contributed to traffic congestion along this Corridor. This has also resulted in queues backing up onto interstate ramps, occasionally interfering with interstate operations, and negatively impacting the adjacent surface transportation system. Additionally, a recent analysis of commercial freight vehicle congestion along the Corridor shows that during the afternoon peak period, truck speeds are often less than 55 miles per hour and in some situations less than 25 miles per hour. The travel forecast indicates a need to improve the traffic operations at interchanges and provide additional capacity on I-49.

In response to the needs of this Corridor, the Arkansas State Highway and Transportation Department (AHTD) has completed or has under construction 21 projects totaling nearly \$217 million in improvements. These projects include intersection improvements to reduce congestion and bottlenecks at specific interchanges and major widening of the main lanes from four- to six-lanes. To demonstrate AHTD's continued commitment to improving this Corridor, an additional \$188 million of work for the Corridor is included in the 2016-2020 Statewide Transportation Improvement Program (STIP).

This application is seeking additional funding which will allow for continued improvements to this Corridor which includes a total of 88 miles of I-49. For purposes of this application, we have bundled six of the remaining projects together. While these six projects will be let and constructed separately, each project, along with each project that has been completed or is under construction, is a critical piece to the overall performance of the Corridor.

This application is specifically requesting additional funding for two of the six projects. These two projects are scheduled to be let to contract in 2016, and obligation of these funds will occur as soon an award is made. Figure 1 provides a map of projects completed, under construction, and scheduled within the Corridor. The projects that are highlighted in yellow on "Figure 1 [Project Area and Improvements]" on page 2 on page 4 are the six remaining projects that have been bundled together for the purpose of this application.

It is important to note that the solution to the transportation issues this Corridor is experiencing requires a substantial effort due to the scope of the needs. AHTD began many years ago to address these needs, but we are not finished. Receiving FASTLANE funds for these projects will help us to move closer to the solution which will support and encourage commercial development in the area and it will enhance the quality of life for those that live and work in this area of Arkansas.



Figure 1 [Project Area and Improvements]

PROJECT LOCATION

The Interstate 49 Corridor, identified as High Priority Corridor 1 in the Intermodal Surface Transportation Efficiency Act of 1991 (ISTEA), encompasses transportation improvements in three states. Further legislation has extended the study corridor definition to include High Priority Corridor 72, along the same general location.

Benton and Washington Counties in Arkansas, and a portion of McDonald County, Missouri comprise the Northwest Arkansas Regional Transportation Study. The Northwest Arkansas Regional Planning Commission houses the metropolitan planning organization for the Fayette-ville-Springdale-Rogers, Arkansas Urbanized Area. This region has experienced population growth above the state average for the past thirty years and is expected to continue to grow at a similar rate. From the 1960s to 2010, the population in the region almost doubled every 25 years.¹ Benton and Washington counties grew 47 percent between 1990 and 2000.

The metropolitan area is the home of Walmart and Tyson Foods — global leaders in retail and meat and poultry processing, respectively. J.B. Hunt Transport Services, Inc., North America's second largest publicly owned transportation and logistics company, is also based in the area. "Table 1 [Major Northwest Arkansas Employers]" on page 4 lists major employers in north-west Arkansas, their product/service, and employment information. According to the Bureau of Labor Statistics, in December 2015 the Northwest Arkansas region as a whole had an unemployment rate of 3.2 percent. This unemployment rate gave Northwest Arkansas a rank of 31 out of 382 metropolitan areas in the United States. As employment opportunities, population, and consumer demand increase, commercial vehicle traffic to and from, as well as within, the region will also grow.

The limits of the Corridor, shown in "Figure 2 [Project Location]" on page 4, are from the southern terminus at the interchange of Interstates 40 and 49 in Crawford County to the northern interchange of I-49 and Highway 72

^{1 &}lt;u>http://www.aiea.ualr.edu/csdc.html</u>

| Table 1 | [Major | Northwest Arkansas | Employers] |
|---------|--------|--------------------|------------|
|---------|--------|--------------------|------------|

| Company Name | Product/Service | Employment |
|-----------------------------|-----------------------|------------|
| WalMart Corp. | Retailer | 7,500 |
| Tyson Foods, Inc. | Protein Processing | 4,300 |
| University of Arkansas | Education | 4,000 |
| George's, Inc. | Poultry Processing | 2,500 |
| J.B. Hunt | Motor Freight Carrier | 2,500 |
| Springdale Schools | Education | 2,235 |
| Mercy Health of NWA | Healthcare | 2,000 |
| Washington Regional Medical | Medical | 1,750 |
| Pinnacle Foods Int'l | Frozen Dinners | 1,750 |
| Superior Industries | Cast Aluminum Wheels | 1,750 |
| Bentonville School District | Education | 1,500 |
| McKee Foods | Snack Foods | 1,400 |
| Rogers Public Schools | Education | 1,300 |
| Simmons Foods | Food Products | 1,206 |
| Cargill, Inc. | Poultry Processing | 1,200 |
| Rockline Industries | Moist Wipes | 930 |
| Northwest Medical | Healthcare | 900 |

Figure 2 [Project Location]



I-49 Project Location

AHID

PROJECT PARTIES

Statewide Project Partners

The primary partner in this project is the Arkansas State Highway and Transportation Department (AHTD). AHTD has partnered with the Cities of Fayetteville, Bentonville, and Rogers, who are committed to the success of these projects. State funds dedicated to the project are based upon a partnership with the citizens of Arkansas through Interstate Rehabilitation Program (IRP) and Connecting Arkansas Program (CAP) dollars.

Interstate Rehabilitation Program

In a special election held November 8, 2011, the citizens of Arkansas voted to allow the Arkansas Highway Commission to issue up to \$575 million in Grant Anticipation Revenue Vehicles bonds to help finance improvements and repairs to existing interstates in Arkansas. This program, in combination with existing federal and state revenues, is expected to support \$1.2 billion in construction on our interstate highways over the life of the program. This program will provide \$40.37 million to the proposed corridor improvements.

Connecting Arkansas Program

This program is the largest highway construction program ever undertaken by AHTD. In early 2011, the Arkansas Legislature voted to include Issue #1 on the General Election ballot. On November 6, 2012, Arkansas voters approved this ten-year, half-cent sales tax to improve highway and infrastructure projects throughout the State. This constitutional amendment will provide revenue to finance widening, improvements of certain state highways. Thirty-six projects in 19 corridors across Arkansas are included in the CAP which improves transportation connections to the four corners of Arkansas, increases capacity by widening highways, improves traveler safety, eases congestion, and supports Arkansas' job growth and economy. The \$1.8 billion CAP if financed revenues from a temporary half-cent sales tax that will end after 10 years when the bonds are retired. The temporary tax is shared by consumers and road users statewide. Taxes were not raised on groceries, medicine, or motor fuels. This program provides \$17.37 million to the proposed corridor improvements.

GRANT FUNDS, SOURCES AND USES OF PROJECT FUNDS/ COST SHARE

The funding sources and categories that are anticipated to be used for the proposed projects are shown in "Table 2 [Sources and Uses of Funds (X \$1,000)]". As the designated recipient for Federal-aid funding, AHTD is confident in the stability and reliability of the federal-aid funds committed to these improvements. For the non-Federal funding categories, financial commitments have been made from the Cities of Fayetteville and Bentonville. Both of these communities have successfully partnered with AHTD on previous projects.

There are two categories of state funds identified for these projects. The first category is derived from the half-cent sales tax associated with the CAP. The second state category includes funds from the state motor fuels tax revenues. Both of these state funding sources are considered stable and reliable.

| Duciente | Cost- Estimate (X1,000) | Federal-aid Funding | | Non-Federal-aid Funding | | |
|---|-------------------------------|---------------------|--------|-------------------------|------------|--------|
| Projects | | Non-NSFHP | NSFHP | Local | САР | State |
| Hwy. 16/112 Intchng. Impvts. (BB0411) | 19,300 | 17,370 | | | | 1,930 |
| BB0414 – Porter Road – Hwy.112/71B Widening and Intchng. Impvts. | 55,000 | 46,200 | | 560 | | 8,240 |
| CA0901 – Hwy.264 – New Hope Road (Widening) | 41,400 | 2,200 | 27,350 | | 11,850 | |
| BB0903 – Hwy.7B Intchng. Impvts. | 23,000 | 18,700 | | 3,000 | | 1,600 |
| CA0902 – Hwy.62/102 – Hwy.72 Widening and Intchng. Impvts. | 24,800 | 6,500 | 12,780 | | 5,520 | |
| 090376 – Hwy.62/102 Intchng. Impvts. and 8 th Street Widening | 24,900 | 19,920 | | 4,660 | | 320 |
| | 188 /00 | 110,590 | 40,130 | 8,220 | 17,370 | 12,090 |
| | 100,100 | 150,720 | (80%) | | 37,680 (20 | %) |

Table 2 [Sources and Uses of Funds (X \$1,000)]

If full funding of the grant request is received, total Federal-aid funding for this group of projects will constitute 80 percent, or \$150,720,000 of the total project cost. The non-Federal-aid portion of the project funding will be \$37,560,000 or 20 percent, including both state and local funds. If full funding of the grant request is not received, the shortfall will be accommodated with CAP funds.

"Table 3 [Projects Completed and Under Construction]" on page 7 lists the projects that AHTD has completed over the last 10 years and projects that are currently under construction, in the Corridor.

| Job | Job Name | Funds Obligated | | | | | |
|-------------|--|-----------------|--------------|---------------|--|--|--|
| Number | | Federal-aid | Other | Total | | | |
| Projects Co | Projects Completed in the Last 10 Years | | | | | | |
| 090180 | I-540/Perry Rd. Interchange (Rogers) | \$17,728,019 | \$1,883,161 | \$19,611,180 | | | |
| 040484 | I-540 Overpass Repair (Fayetteville) | \$1,530,660 | \$382,665 | \$1,913,325 | | | |
| 012069 | I-540 Interchanges Short-Term Impvts. | \$2,643,837 | \$157,632 | \$2,801,469 | | | |
| 040485 | I-540/62/180 Intchng Interim Impvts. (Fayetteville) | \$4,043,075 | \$1,010,768 | \$5,053,843 | | | |
| 012111 | Hwy. 112/265-Hwy. 62/102 Cable Median Barrier | \$5,291,946 | \$170,000 | \$5,461,946 | | | |
| 040583 | Hwy. 62/180-Hwy. 16 Widening (Fayetteville) (F) | \$5,150,221 | \$810,473 | \$5,960,694 | | | |
| 040643 | Chester-Hwy. 74 Slide Repair (S) | \$3,825,469 | \$956,368 | \$4,781,837 | | | |
| 040527 | I-540/Don Tyson Pkwy. Intchng. (Springdale) | \$3,382,275 | \$845,568 | \$4,227,843 | | | |
| 040605 | Hwy. 16-Porter Rd. (Widening) | \$15,288,000 | \$3,822,000 | \$19,110,000 | | | |
| 090331 | Wagon Wheel RdHwy. 264 (Widening) | \$14,425,815 | \$1,605,091 | \$16,030,906 | | | |
| BB0412 | Johnson Mill Blvd. Intchng. Impvts. | \$1,461,265 | \$162,364 | \$1,623,629 | | | |
| BB0901 | Wagon Wheel Rd. Intchng. Impvts. | \$1,410,177 | \$295,289 | \$1,705,466 | | | |
| 040646 | Crawford Co. Line-Fayetteville (Sel. Secs.) (Cable Median Barrier) | \$927,608 | \$103,067 | \$1,030,675 | | | |
| 090305 | New Hope RdHwy. 62/102 Widening (Rogers) | \$9,584,555 | \$2,396,138 | \$11,980,693 | | | |
| BB0416 | Elm Springs Rd. Intchng. Temp. Sig. (I-49) (Springdale) | \$86,046 | \$9,560 | \$95,606 | | | |
| | SUB-TOTAL | \$86,778,968 | \$14,610,144 | \$101,389,112 | | | |
| Projects Ur | nder Construction | | | | | | |
| BB0409 | I-49 Pavement Rehabilitation (Sel. Secs.) | \$21,972,418 | \$2,441,379 | \$24,413,797 | | | |
| BB0413 | Elm Springs Rd. Intchng. Impvts. | \$6,492,584 | \$721,398 | \$7,213,982 | | | |
| BB0902 | Hwy. 264 Intchng. Impvts. | \$8,294,143 | \$1,007,273 | \$9,301,416 | | | |
| CA0401 | Hwy. 71B-Hwy. 412 (Widening) | \$28,057,826 | \$3,117,536 | \$31,175,362 | | | |
| CA0907 | Hwy. 112-I-49 | \$17,006,111 | \$4,183,695 | \$21,189,806 | | | |
| CA1101 | Hwy. 412-Wagon Wheel Rd. (Widening) | \$21,484,511 | \$381,428 | \$21,865,939 | | | |
| | SUB-TOTAL | \$103,307,593 | \$11,852,709 | \$115,160,302 | | | |

Table 3 [Projects Completed and Under Construction]

COST-EFFECTIVENESS

Travel Demand Impacts

Travel demand benefits for the proposed improvements along I-49 are summarized in "Table 4 [Projects Level Impacts]". Benefits reflect corridor-level impacts compared to a future 2040 No-Build scenario. The project's proposed opening to traffic is in year 2020. A future/horizon

| | Base Year No Build | Base Year Build | % Change |
|----------------------|-----------------------|--------------------|----------|
| Hours of Delay/Auto | 64,320 | 54,256 | -16 |
| Hours of Delay/Truck | 55,000 | 46,200 | -30 |

year for the No-Build and Build project scenarios is set at 2040 to provide a 20-year benefit stream for the impact analysis. Impacts are isolated to the I-49 project only; they do not reflect any additional planned improvements in the region.

It is estimated that in the base year, the proposed project will reduce truck delay by around 30% percent during (AM and PM) peak periods of travel and about 16% for autos.

The benefits of implementing the project include cost savings due to reduced pavement maintenance cost, travel time, delays and vehicle operating cost, motor vehicle crash costs. "Table 5 [Summary of Benefit-Cost Analysis]" on page 9 summarizes the findings of the benefit-cost analysis which yield a robust BCR ranging between 2.2 and 3.2

Economic Impacts

The transportation cost savings arising from the Project will support additional economic growth and development in the region. It is estimated that the short-term impact of the increased construction spending will lead to an additional 2,527 jobs. In the long term, the Project will increase the overall competitiveness of the region, translating into an additional 145 jobs, \$6.7 million in labor income, and \$20.1 million in Gross State Product (GSP), annually.

Summary Benefits

The I-49 corridor project is estimated to provide significant benefit to the Northwest Arkansas region and the State of Arkansas. Capacity upgrades yield a significant and immediate 30 percent delay reduction for trucks and 16% reduction in delay for autos in the region. Improved mobility and reliability resulting from the project will support reduced air pollution and ensure the region and the state's economy grows bigger and faster. The Gross State Product (GSP), a measure of the size of the state's economy, is projected to grow by about \$20 million more per year with the project than without it. The expansion in GSP translates into an additional 145 permanent jobs per year and \$6.7 million in additional personal income per year for residents throughout the state.

| Cost Benefit Analysis (Discounted) | Discount Rate: | | |
|--|----------------|---------------|--|
| Change in Travel Efficiency (Build - No-Build) | 7% | 3% | |
| Vehicle Miles Traveled | -193,169,484 | -193,169,484 | |
| Vehicle Hours Traveled | -76,208,381 | -76,208,381 | |
| Hours of Delay | -76,208,381 | -76,208,381 | |
| Benefits | | | |
| Reduction in Value of Time Costs (Widening) | \$273,429,301 | \$438,206,425 | |
| Reduction in Value of Time Costs (Interchange) | \$1,502,083 | \$2,503,989 | |
| Reduction in Non-Fuel Vehicle Operating Costs (Widening) | \$7,573,241 | \$11,220,844 | |
| Reduction in Fuel Vehicle Operating Costs (Widening) | \$9,093,119 | \$13,481,413 | |
| Reduction in Safety Costs (Widening) | \$12,904,071 | \$19,146,776 | |
| Reduction in Safety Costs (Interchange) | \$59,252,241 | \$95,728,385 | |
| Reduction in Emissions Costs | \$13,053,430 | \$19,394,728 | |
| Reduction in Repair Costs | \$3,205,950 | \$4,744,151 | |
| Total Benefits | \$380,012,016 | \$604,424,450 | |
| Costs | | | |
| Construction Costs | \$170,055,680 | \$183,294,016 | |
| Maintenance and Operations Costs | \$2,589,443 | \$4,129,906 | |
| Total Costs | \$172,645,123 | \$187,423,922 | |
| Benefits vs. Costs | | | |
| Net Benefits | \$207,366,893 | \$417,000,528 | |
| Benefit-Cost Ratio | 2.2 | 3.2 | |

Table 5 [Summary of Benefit-Cost Analysis]

PROJECT READINESS/ COMMUNITY AND ENVIRONMENTAL OUTCOMES

Of the six projects included in the proposed corridor improvements, four are scheduled to be let to contact during summer 2016. These four projects listed below have received environmental clearance either through a Finding of No Significance or a Categorical Exclusion. There are not expected environmental delays related to the remaining two projects.

| Job Number | Job Name | Letting Date | Environmental Clearance Status |
|---------------|--|--------------|---|
| 090376 | Hwy.62/102 Interchange Improvements. & 8 th Street Widening | June 2016 | Finding of No Significance – August 2013 |
| BB0414 | Porter Road – Hwy.112/71B Widening & Interchange Improvements | August 2016 | Tier 3 Categorical Exclusion – December 2014 |
| CA0901 | Hwy.264 – New Hope Road (Widening) | June 2016 | Tier 3 Categorical Exclusion – April 2015 |
| CA0902 | Hwy.62/102 – Hwy.72 Widening & Interchange Improvements | June 2016 | Tier 3 Categorical Exclusion – March 2015 |
| BB0903 | BB0903 – Hwy.7B Interchange Improvements | 2018 | Environmental Handling – 5% complete |
| BB0411 | Hwy. 16/112 Interchange Improvements | 2019 | Environmental Handling – 10% complete |

| Table 6 [Environmental | Clearance | Status] |
|------------------------|-----------|---------|
|------------------------|-----------|---------|

There are no expected delays impacting the department's ability to let all of the proposed corridor improvements to contract. There are no legislative actions required before proceeding with the corridor improvements. In the event of a loss of Federal-aid or state motor fuels tax revenue, projects will be deferred until existing construction commitments can be met.

Public meetings have been held on three of the projects in this section of the I-49 Corridor. A public involvement meeting was held for Job BB0414 (Porter Road - Highway 112/71B Widening and Interchange Improvements) on September 25, 2014. Handouts, including a comment sheet, were provided to the public. A hundred percent of the people commenting supported the proposed improvements at the interchange and seventy-seven percent believe there will beneficial impacts due to the proposed project. A public involvement meeting was held for Job BB0411 (Highway 16/112 Interchange Improvements) on March 20, 2014 with sixty percent of the fifty-two comments indicating support for the proposed improvements. There were four opportunities for the public to participate in the project development process for Job 090376 (Highway 62/102 Interchange Improvements and 8th Street Widening): a public involvement meeting (February 28, 2008), a local public hearing (December 15, 2011), a property owner-tenant open house (February 16, 2012), and a design public hearing (March 7, 2013). At the February 2008 public involvement meeting, eighty-one percent of the comments indicated support for the 8th Street improvements and sixty-seven percent indicated support of a new interchange. Opportunities for public engagement will be scheduled for the remaining Corridor projects at the appropriate time.

ECONOMIC OUTCOMES

Across the state, transportation is a critical factor in the movement of freight. Freight traffic forecasts indicate the tonnage of freight shipped to, from, and within Arkansas will nearly double between 2012 and 2040 from 299 million tons to over 439 million tons. The sectors of the economy most dependent on freight are illustrated in "Figure 3 [Freight Dependent Portion of Arkansas' Economy]".

Likewise, nearly 781,000 jobs or half of the total employment in Arkansas, is dependent on freight movement either as a resource for manufacturing or for delivery of finished goods for retail sales. "Figure 4 [Freight Dependent Portion of Arkansas' Economy - Employment]" on page 12 displays the distribution of freight-dependent employment in Arkansas. Of course, agriculture is very heavily dependent on freight movement as both a sector of the economy as well as a major employer with over 259,000 jobs attributed to the agricultural sector.

Relevant to this application are the truck-related freight movements in Arkansas. "Figure 5 [U.S. Truck Tonnage]" on page 12 shows Arkansas' top trading partners based on the tonnage of freight shipped by truck. Oklahoma and Texas qualify as the largest tonnage-based trading partners with more than 20 million tons being shipped by truck. The next on the list would be Missouri, Louisiana, and Tennessee. This is important to the proposed project corridor as I-49 provides direct access from Arkansas to Missouri and Louisiana.



Figure 3 [Freight Dependent Portion of Arkansas' Economy]



Figure 4 [Freight Dependent Portion of Arkansas' Economy - Employment]

Figure 5 [U.S. Truck Tonnage]



MOBILITY OUTCOMES

The varied analyses of the main lanes and interchanges throughout the corridor typically indicate a reduction in delay usually associated with the interchange improvements. The interchange/ intersection analyses report improvements to the intersection level of service after the implementation of the short-term, interim, and long-term improvements. Intersection level of service is based upon the amount of delay at the intersection. If the level of service improves, a reduction in delay is implied and therefore travel time savings will be realized through the implementation of the proposed project.

In 2006, the Arkansas Highway Commission accepted the "Interstate 540 Improvement Study" which made recommendations regarding main lane improvements along I-540 (now I-49) in Benton and Washington Counties.¹ Analyses of the traffic volumes along I-540 (I-49) indicated a 2004 Level of Service (LOS) between B and D. This information is shown in "Table 7 [Basic Freeway Segments on I-49: HCS Freeway Findings]". ²However, by 2024, with no capacity improvements it is anticipated the facility will operate at LOS F.³ Recommendations in the study included the widening of I-49 to at least six lanes from the Highway 62/Highway 180 interchange to the Highway 72 interchange in Bentonville. The specific sections within the 26-mile corridor recommended for widening to eight lanes are listed below:

- Highway 16/Highway 112-Spur through the Highway 71B Interchange
- Highway 412 (Springdale) to Highway 102/Highway 62 (Bentonville)

| | | | • • | | - | | | | ~ | |
|-------------------------|------|------|------|------|------|------|------|------|------|------|
| | 2012 | | | | 2035 | | | | | |
| | AM | | PM | | AM | | | PM | | |
| | עווס | 1.09 | עוות | 1.09 | עוות | L | DS | עווס | LOS | |
| | υπν | 103 | DU | 103 | DUA | 6 LN | 8 LN | υπν | 6 LN | 8 LN |
| NB, South of Hwy 71B | 3609 | D | 2955 | С | 6208 | Е | D | 4830 | D | С |
| NB North of Hwy 71B | 2521 | С | 2512 | С | 4898 | D | С | 4291 | С | В |
| SB, South of Hwy 71B | 2537 | С | 2965 | С | 4382 | С | С | 5196 | D | С |
| SB, South of Hwy 71B | 2930 | D | 3717 | E | 4739 | D | С | 5951 | E | С |

Table 7 [Basic Freeway Segments on I-49: HCS Freeway Findings]

HCS: Highway Capacity Software

DHV: Design Hourly Volume

LOS: Level of Service

In addition to the mainlane improvement analyses, the study also addressed the need for interchange improvements at 18 interchanges within the corridor. Recommended improvements range from short-term, low-cost intersection improvements to full interchange reconstruction.

Analyses along the corridor completed as part of the proposed Interchange Justification Reports have identified operation deficiencies within the corridor. The Highway 71B Interchange in Bentonville is representative of the observations made: "Field observations of long queues

Hwy%2071B%20intch.%20Imp/

3 Ibid, Table 5 and Table 6.

I
 ftp://arkansashighways.com/outgoing/fastlane/I-49/1-11-105%20I-540%20Improvement%20Study%20OCR.pdf

 2
 ftp://arkansashighways.com/outgoing/fastlane/I-49/Interchange%20Justification%20Reports/BB0903%20-%20

forming on the exit ramps..." are related to "stop-and-go 'shock waves' ... that travel upstream as vehicles enter the back of the queue ... extending onto the I-49 main lanes".⁴ Considering the density of the development, the number of interchanges, and the significant daily flows within the region, these types of stop-and-go conditions will only worsen with time.

Additionally, main lane capacity analyses conducted along the northern portion of the project corridor reveal the following level of service results based on the assumption of major widening to three or four lanes in each direction along I-49.

The merging and diverging of the interchange ramps were also investigated. Existing ramp conditions of the Highway 71B interchange, which are representative of other interchanges in the Corridor, are presented in "Table 8 [Highway 71B (Walnut Street Interchange) Ramp Junctions Operating Conditions: HCS Ramp Findings]".5

The northbound exit ramp indicated a capacity problem represented by LOS F in the forecast year which can be resolved by providing a two-lane exit ramp. Merging issues appear to be resolved by the proposed freeway widening. Likewise, the Level of Service for the southbound entrance ramp will be improved by the additional main lanes, improving the merging conditions.

Additionally, analysis of the turning movement data at the ramp ends for the I-49/Highway 71B interchange indicate the passenger vehicles and commercial vehicles share the same peaking characteristics. Both the northbound and southbound ramp intersections on Highway 71B have their peak movements during the middle of the day. The southbound ramp intersection peak hour begins at 11:30 AM. The northbound ramp intersection peak hour begins at 12:15 PM.

At both the Highway 71B interchange and at the Highway 112 interchange, trucks are a part of the peak hour traffic and as such impact the overall operations of the interchange and ultimately of the main lanes. While there is not a high percentage or a large total volume of commercial vehicle traffic, its interaction with the passenger traffic creates impedances through the intersections and in some instances impacts the main lane operations.

Table 8 [Highway 71B (Walnut Street Interchange) Ramp Junctions Operating Conditions: HCS Ramp Findings]

| | | 20 | 12 | | 2035 (No-Build) | | | | |
|------------------|------|-----|------|-----|-----------------|-----|------|-----|--|
| | AM | | Р | М | A | Μ | PM | | |
| | DHV | LOS | DHV | LOS | DHV | LOS | DHV | LOS | |
| Northbound Exit | 1385 | E | 1023 | D | 2316 | F | 1707 | D | |
| Northbound Entry | 297 | С | 580 | С | 496 | С | 969 | С | |
| Southbound Exit | 503 | D | 426 | D | 840 | С | 702 | D | |
| Southbound Entry | 896 | D | 1178 | D | 1497 | С | 1967 | D | |

ftp://arkansashighways.com/outgoing/fastlane/I-49/Interchange%20Justification%20Reports/BB0903%20-%20 Hwv%2071B%20intch.%20Imp/ Ibid.

⁵

SAFETY OUTCOMES

The proposed projects will improve safety by reducing congestion, thereby reducing the risk of crashes associated with stop-and-go traffic flow. It enhances safety by also improving key interchanges that currently experience some level of queuing from the ramp terminals onto the Interstate mainlanes.

The most recent three years of crash data (2011-2013) show that the corridor experienced a crash rate of 0.87 crashes per million vehicle miles traveled (mvm). This corridor also experienced a fatal (K) plus serious injury (A) rate of 4.91 crashes per 100 million vehicle miles (100mvm) over the same time period. These rates are about 53 percent and four percent higher, respectively, than the average crash rates for freeways in Arkansas over the same time period. The crash data also reveals that the portion of rear-end collisions among all freeway crash types is 53 percent. This is greater than the statewide average of 44 percent on all Arkansas freeways. This information suggests that there is a need to improve safety performance by addressing congestion.

PARTNERSHIP AND INNOVATION

On the local level, the Cities of Fayetteville, Bentonville, and Rogers have committed local funds to these proposed improvements. The proposed improvements are included in the Northwest Arkansas Regional Transportation Study Metropolitan Transportation Plan as well as their 2016-2020 Transportation Improvement Plan. Likewise, local road users were engaged through public involvement activities during the development of the "Interstate 540 Improvement Study" and through the development of the Metropolitan Transportation Plan.

Additional public support for this suite of projects is evidenced by the letters of support submitted to the Secretary of Transportation. The recognition of the need for freight-related improvements demonstrates the understanding of the importance of efficient freight movement by various members of both private and public sectors.

WAGE RATE CERTIFICATION FOR FIXING AMERICA'S SURFACE TRANSPORTATION ACT

Pursuant to the Fixing America's Surface Transportation Act (Pub. Law 114-94), I, Scott E. Bennett, Director of Highways and Transportation for the State of Arkansas, certify that all laborers and mechanics employed by contractors and subcontractors on projects funded directly by or assisted in whole or in part by and through the federal government pursuant to the Act shall be paid wages at rates not less than those prevailing on projects of a character similar in the locality as determined by the Secretary of Labor in accordance with subchapter IV of Chapter 31 of Title 40, United States Code, the Davis-Bacon Act.

I understand that the Arkansas State Highway and Transportation Department may not receive FASTLANE 2016 funding unless this certification is made and posted.

Scott E. Bennett, P.E. Director of Highways and Transportation

-11-16